arXiv:1610.00111v1 [math.OA] 1 Oct 2016

NORMS OF VECTOR FUNCTIONALS

M. ANOUSSIS AND I. G. TODOROV

Abstract. We study the question of when, and how, the norm of a vector functional on an operator algebra can be controlled by the invariant subspace lattice of the algebra. We introduce a related operator algebraic property, and give sufficient conditions for it to be satisfied. We show that injective von Neumann algebras and CSL algebras both enjoy this property, while its validity for all von Neumann algebras is implied by an affirmative answer of the Connes Embedding Problem. We exhibit examples of operator algebras that do not satisfy the property or any scaled version of it.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Vector functionals have played a fundamental role in the theory of operator algebras since its inception. In the area of selfadjoint algebras, they arise naturally as pure states and through the GNS construction [9]. In the realm of non-selfadjoint operator algebras, they are at the base of the notions of reflexivity [10] and hyperreflexivity [5]. In this note, we study the question of whether the norm of a vector functional on a given operator algebra can be controlled through the invariant subspace lattice of the algebra. We propose a new bound for the norms of vector functionals on certain classes of operator algebras, establishing minimax inequalities that capture the duality between the algebras and their subspace lattices.

In order to describe our results more specifically, we introduce some notation. Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the collection of all bounded linear operators acting on a Hilbert space H and $\mathcal{P}(H)$ be the set of all projections, that is, self-adjoint idempotents, on H. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a unital operator algebra, let

$$\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A} = \{L \in \mathcal{B}(H) : \text{ projection}, (I-L)\mathcal{A}L = \{0\}\} \tag{1}$$

be the invariant subspace lattice of $\mathcal{A}$. Given vectors $x, y \in H$, let $\omega_{x,y}$ be the vector functional on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by $\omega_{x,y}(T) = (Tx, y)$, and let $\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the restriction of $\omega_{x,y}$ to $\mathcal{A}$.

We are interested in the question for which operator algebras $\mathcal{A}$ the inequality

$$\inf_{L\in\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}}(\|(I-L)x\|^2 + \|Ly\|^2) \leq \|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\| \tag{2}$$

holds for all vectors $x, y \in H$. If (2) is satisfied, we say that $\mathcal{A}$ possesses *property (V)*. We prove that every CSL algebra, as well as every injective

Date: 14 September 2016.

von Neumann algebra, possess property (V). While we do not know whether every von Neumann algebra possesses (V), we show that this is indeed the case if the Connes Embedding Problem has an affirmative answer. We study a scaled version of the property, in which (2) holds up to a constant, and show that the algebra of operators leaving two non-trivial closed subspaces in generic position invariant satisfies it if and only if the angle between the subspaces is positive. In particular, this implies that if the angle between the subspaces is zero then the corresponding algebra does not possess (V).

In the rest of this section, we fix notation and recall some notions needed in the sequel. We fix throughout a Hilbert space H. For a subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, we write $\mathrm{Ball}(\mathcal{S})$ for the set of all contractions in $\mathcal{S}$. We recall the weak operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(H)$, denoted here by w, in which a net $(A_i)_i$ converges to an operator A if and only if $\omega_{x,y}(A_i) \to \omega_{x,y}(A)$ for all vectors $x, y \in H$, the strong operator topology, denoted by s, in which $(A_i)_i$ converges to an operator A if and only if $A_i x \to Ax$ for every vector $x \in H$, and the strong* operator topology, denoted by s^*, in which $(A_i)_i$ converges to A if and only if $A_i \to_i A$ and $A_i^* \to_i A^*$ in the strong operator topology.

If L is a projection, we let as usual $L^\perp = I - L$. The natural order in $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is the order of a (complete) lattice; by a *subspace lattice* we will mean a sublattice of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ that is closed in the strong operator topology. It is easily verified that, if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a unital (and not necessarily selfadjoint) operator algebra then the set $\mathrm{Lat}\,\mathcal{A}$ defined in (1) is a subspace lattice. A *commutative subspace lattice (CSL)* is a subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}$ such that $PQ = QP$ for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{L}$. A *CSL algebra* is an algebra of the form $\mathrm{Alg}\,\mathcal{L}$ for some CSL $\mathcal{L}$, where

$$\mathrm{Alg}\,\mathcal{L} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(H) : L^\perp A L = 0, \text{ for all } L \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

is the algebra of all operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ leaving the ranges of projections in $\mathcal{L}$ invariant. We refer the reader to [5] for a background on CSL's and CSL algebras.

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach space, equipped with a locally convex topology τ (that does not need to coincide with the norm topology of $\mathcal{X}$) and $(F_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a net of τ-closed subsets of $\mathcal{X}$, we let $\tau\text{-}\liminf_{\lambda\in\Lambda} F_\lambda$ be the set of all points $x \in \mathcal{X}$ for which there exists a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, where $x_\lambda \in F_\lambda$ for each λ, such that $x_\lambda \to x$. We let $\tau\text{-}\limsup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} F_\lambda$ be the set of all limits of subnets $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}$, bounded in the norm of $\mathcal{X}$, where $x_\lambda \in F_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$.

We finish this section with a reformulation of (2). Given a subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}$ on H, let

$$\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L} = \{(x, y) : \text{ there exists } \ L \in \mathcal{L} \text{ with } Lx = x, Ly = 0\}.$$

The left hand side of (2) is equal to $d((x, y), \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L})^2$, where d denotes the distance in the Hilbert space $H \oplus H$. Thus, inequality (2) becomes

$$d((x, y), \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L})^2 \leq \|\omega_{x,y}|_\mathcal{A}\|.$$

2. Validity of property (V)

If $\mathcal{L}$ is a subspace lattice acting on a Hilbert space H, we denote by $\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}$ the w-closure of the convex hull of $\mathcal{L}$; note that $\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}$ is a (w-closed) convex subset of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a net of subspace lattices and $\mathcal{L}$ be a subspace lattice acting on a Hilbert space H. If $w\text{-}\limsup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathcal{L}_\lambda \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}$, then $d(\xi,\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L}) \leq \liminf_{\lambda\in\Lambda} d(\xi,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda})$ for every $\xi\in H\oplus H$.*

Proof. Let $\xi=(x,y)$ and suppose that $d(\xi,\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}_\mu})^2 \to_{\mu\in\Lambda_0}\delta$, for some subnet Λ_0 of Λ. Let $\epsilon>0$. After passing to a further subnet if necessary, we choose projections $L_\mu\in\mathcal{L}_\mu$, $\mu\in\Lambda_0$, such that

$$\|L_\mu^\perp x\|^2+\|L_\mu y\|^2\leq\delta+\epsilon.$$

Since the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is compact in the weak operator topology, we may assume, after passing to a subnet if necessary, that $L_\mu\to A$ weakly, for some $A\in\mathcal{B}(H)$. By assumption, $A\in\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}$. Moreover,

$$\|L_\mu^\perp x\|^2+\|L_\mu y\|^2=(L_\mu^\perp x,x)+(L_\mu y,y)\to_{\mu\in\Lambda_0}((I-A)x,x)+(Ay,y),$$

and hence $((I-A)x,x)+(Ay,y)\leq\delta+\epsilon$.

Consider the function $f:\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^+$ given by $f(X)=((I-X)x,x)+(Xy,y)$; clearly, f is continuous in the weak operator topology. Moreover, f is affine in the sense that if $X,Y\in\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}$ and s and t are non-negative numbers with $s+t=1$, then $f(sX+tY)=sf(X)+tf(Y)$. By Bauer's Maximum Principle (see [1, 7.69]), there exists an extreme point B of $\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}$ such that $\min_{C\in\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}} f(C)=f(B)$. By the converse of the Krein-Milman Theorem, B belongs to the weak closure $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^w$ of $\mathcal{L}$. Thus, there exists a net $(P_\nu)_\nu\subseteq\mathcal{L}$ such that $P_\nu\to_\nu B$ weakly; hence $f(P_\nu)\to_\nu f(B)$. It follows that

$$d(\xi,\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{L})^2\leq\lim{}_\nu\|P_\nu^\perp x\|^2+\|P_\nu y\|^2=f(B)\leq f(A)\leq\delta+\epsilon,$$

and the proof is complete by letting $\epsilon\to 0$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a Hilbert space, $(\mathcal{A}_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a net of operator algebras on H and let $\mathcal{A}$ be an operator algebra on H such that $w\text{-}\limsup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathcal{A}_\lambda\subseteq\mathcal{A}$. If $x,y\in H$ then*

$$\limsup{}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}_\lambda}\|\leq\|\omega_{x,y}|_\mathcal{A}\|.$$

Proof. Suppose that Λ_0 is a subnet of Λ and that

$$\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}_\lambda}\|\to_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}\delta.$$

Let $T_\lambda\in\operatorname{Ball}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda)$ be such that $\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}_\lambda}\|=(T_\lambda x,y)$. Passing to a subnet if necessary, we may assume that $T_\lambda\to_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}T$ in the weak operator topology. Then $T\in\operatorname{Ball}(\mathcal{A})$ and so

$$\delta=\lim{}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0}(T_\lambda x,y)=(Tx,y)\leq\|\omega_{x,y}|_\mathcal{A}\|.$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 2.3. *Every CSL algebra possesses property (V).*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a CSL on H and let $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Fix $x, y \in H$. We first prove the statement in the case $\mathcal{L}$ is finite. Let $P \in \mathcal{L}$ be such that

$$\|P^\perp x\|^2 + \|Py\|^2 \leq \|L^\perp x\|^2 + \|Ly\|^2, \quad \text{for every } L \in \mathcal{L}. \tag{3}$$

Set $K_1 = PH$, $K_2 = P^\perp H$, $x_1 = Px$, $x_2 = P^\perp x$, $y_1 = Py$, $y_2 = P^\perp y$, and let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{L|_{K_1} : L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = \{L|_{K_2} : L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ be the restrictions of $\mathcal{L}$ to K_1 and K_2, respectively. (Note that, since K_1 and K_2 are invariant for each $L \in \mathcal{L}$, these restrictions are well-defined.)

We claim that

$$\|L^\perp y_1\|^2 \leq \|L^\perp x_1\|^2, \quad L \in \mathcal{L}_1. \tag{4}$$

To show this, assume that $\|PL^\perp y\|^2 > \|PL^\perp x\|^2$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(PL)^\perp x\|^2 + \|PLy\|^2 &= \|P^\perp Lx\|^2 + \|P^\perp L^\perp x\|^2 + \|PL^\perp x\|^2 + \|PLy\|^2 \\ &< \|P^\perp Lx\|^2 + \|P^\perp L^\perp x\|^2 + \|PL^\perp y\|^2 + \|PLy\|^2 \\ &= \|P^\perp x\|^2 + \|Py\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3) and hence (4) is established. By [7], there exists $T_1 \in \operatorname{Ball}(\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_1)$ such that $T_1 x_1 = y_1$.

Similarly, we claim that

$$\|Lx_2\|^2 \leq \|Ly_2\|^2, \quad L \in \mathcal{L}_2. \tag{5}$$

To show (5) suppose, by way of contradiction, that $\|LP^\perp x\|^2 > \|LP^\perp y\|^2$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(P \vee L)^\perp x\|^2 + \|(P \vee L)y\|^2 \\ = \ & \|P^\perp L^\perp x\|^2 + \|P^\perp Ly\|^2 + \|PL^\perp y\|^2 + \|PLy\|^2 \\ < \ & \|P^\perp L^\perp x\|^2 + \|P^\perp Lx\|^2 + \|PL^\perp y\|^2 + \|PLy\|^2 = \|P^\perp x\|^2 + \|Py\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3) and hence (5) is established. By [7], there exists $T_2 \in \operatorname{Ball}((\operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_2)^*)$ such that $T_2 y_2 = x_2$. Let $T = T_1 \oplus T_2^* \in \mathcal{B}(K_1 \oplus K_2)$. For every $L \in \mathcal{L}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L^\perp T L &= (L^\perp P \oplus L^\perp P^\perp)(T_1 \oplus T_2^*)(LP \oplus LP^\perp) \\ &= (L^\perp P T_1 LP) \oplus (L^\perp P^\perp T_2^* LP^\perp) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so $T \in \operatorname{Ball}(\mathcal{A})$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\| &\geq |\omega_{x,y}(T)| = |((PTP + P^\perp T P^\perp)x, y)| \\ &= |(T_1 x_1, y_1) + (T_2^* x_2, y_2)| = |(T_1 x_1, y_1) + (x_2, T_2 y_2)| \\ &= \|y_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 = \|P^\perp x\|^2 + \|Py\|^2 = d((x,y), \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the claim of the theorem is proved in the case $\mathcal{L}$ is finite.

Now assume $\mathcal{L}$ is an arbitrary CSL. It is easy to see that there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of finite CSL's such that $\mathcal{L}_n \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{L}_n$ is strongly dense in $\mathcal{L}$. Clearly, $w\text{-}\limsup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{L}_n \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{L}}^w$, and hence the assumption of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. Thus

$$d((x,y),\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}) \leq \liminf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} d((x,y),\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}_n}). \tag{6}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \operatorname{Alg}\mathcal{L}_n$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. It is trivial to verify that $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}$, and thus $w\text{-}\limsup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\limsup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}_n}\| \leq \|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\|. \tag{7}$$

By the first part of the proof, inequality (2) holds for each of the algebras $\mathcal{A}_n$. Inequalities (6) and (7) now imply that (2) holds for $\mathcal{A}$. □

Our next aim is to show that, within the class of von Neumann algebras, property (V) is preserved under taking limits. As usual, if $\mathcal{S}\subseteq\mathcal{B}(H)$, we let $\mathcal{S}' = \{A\in\mathcal{B}(H) : AT = TA \text{ for all } T\in\mathcal{S}\}$ be the commutant of $\mathcal{S}$, and set $\mathcal{S}'' = (\mathcal{S}')'$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(\mathcal{A}_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a net of von Neumann algebras, and $\mathcal{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra, acting on a Hilbert space H. If*

$$\mathcal{A} = s^*\text{-}\liminf_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathcal{A}_\lambda = (w\text{-}\limsup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathcal{A}_\lambda)'' \tag{8}$$

and $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ possesses property (V) for each $\lambda\in\Lambda$, then $\mathcal{A}$ does so as well.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}_\lambda$; we have that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is the projection lattice of the commutant $\mathcal{A}_\lambda'$ of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$. We claim that

$$w\text{-}\limsup\mathcal{L}_\lambda \subseteq \operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}. \tag{9}$$

Suppose that Λ_0 is a subnet of Λ, $L_\mu\in\mathcal{L}_\mu$, $\mu\in\Lambda_0$, and $L_\mu\to A$ weakly. Let $T\in\mathcal{A}$ and $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$. By assumption, there exists a net $(T_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ such that $T_\lambda\in\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ for each $\lambda\in\Lambda$, and $s^*\text{-}\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda}T_\lambda = T$. Let $\mu_0\in\Lambda_0$ be such that if $\mu\geq\mu_0$ then $\|T_\mu x - Tx\|<\epsilon$, $\|T_\mu^* y - T^* y\|<\epsilon$, $|(Ax,T^*y)-(L_\mu x,T^*y)|<\epsilon$ and $|(ATx,y)-(L_\mu Tx,y)|<\epsilon$. For every $\mu\geq\mu_0$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(TAx,y)-(T_\mu L_\mu x,y)| \\ \leq\ & |(TAx,y)-(TL_\mu x,y)| + |(TL_\mu x,y)-(T_\mu L_\mu x,y)| \\ =\ & |(Ax,T^*y)-(L_\mu x,T^*y)| + |(L_\mu x,T^*y)-(L_\mu x,T_\mu^* y)|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $|(TAx,y)-(T_\mu L_\mu x,y)|<\epsilon(1+\|x\|)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(ATx,y)-(L_\mu T_\mu x,y)| \\ \leq\ & |(ATx,y)-(L_\mu Tx,y)| + |(L_\mu Tx,y)-(L_\mu T_\mu x,y)| \\ =\ & |(ATx,y)-(L_\mu Tx,y)| + |(Tx,L_\mu y)-(T_\mu x,L_\mu y)|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $|(ATx,y)-(L_\mu T_\mu x,y)|<\epsilon(1+\|y\|)$ for all $\mu\geq\mu_0$. Since $L_\mu T_\mu = T_\mu L_\mu$, we conclude that $|(ATx,y)-(TAx,y)|<\epsilon(2+\|x\|+\|y\|)$, and since this holds for every $\epsilon>0$ and every $T\in\mathcal{A}$, we have that $A\in\mathcal{A}'$. However, by the Spectral Theorem, $\operatorname{Conv}\mathcal{L}$ coincides with the positive part of $\operatorname{Ball}(\mathcal{A}')$, and hence (9) is established.

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and the assumption that $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ possesses (V) for each λ, we have

$$d(\xi, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}) \leq \liminf_{\lambda\in\Lambda} d(\xi, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}_\lambda}) \leq \limsup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}_\lambda}\| \leq \|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\|,$$

in other words, $\mathcal{A}$ possesses (V). □

Corollary 2.5. *Every injective von Neumann algebra possesses (V).*

Proof. First, note that if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\| = \|x\|\|y\|$, while

$$d((x, y), \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}})^2 = \min\{\|x\|^2, \|y\|^2\}.$$

Thus, (V) holds for $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Next, observe that if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2$, where $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ possess (V) then so does $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that if $x = x_1 \oplus x_2$ and $y = y_1 \oplus y_2$ then

$$d((x, y), \mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}})^2 = d((x_1, y_1), \mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}_1})^2 + d((x_2, y_2), \mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}_2})^2$$

and

$$\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\| = \|\omega_{x_1,y_1}|_{\mathcal{A}_1}\| + \|\omega_{x_2,y_2}|_{\mathcal{A}_2}\|.$$

It follows from the previous paragraph that every finite dimensional von Neumann algebra has (V).

Now suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is an arbitrary injective von Neumann algebra. By [4], there exist finite dimensional von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{A}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n}^{w}$. By Kaplansky's Density Theorem (see [9, Vol. 1, Theorem 5.3.5]), equality (8) holds. The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.4 and the previous paragraph. □

Recall that the Connes Embedding Problem asks whether every separably acting II_1 factor embeds in a ultrapower of the hyperfinite II_1 factor. In [6] it is shown that the Connes Embedding Problem is equivalent to a density property of the injective factors on a separable Hilbert space H in the collection of all von Neumann algebras on H. In the next corollary, we relate the Connes Embedding Problem to property (V).

Corollary 2.6. *If the Connes Embedding Problem has an affirmative answer then every separably acting von Neumann algebra has property (V).*

Proof. Suppose that the Connes Embedding Problem has an affirmative answer, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space H. By [6, Theorem 4.1], there exists a net $(\mathcal{A}_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of injective factors acting on H such that equalities (8) hold. By Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 2.4, $\mathcal{A}$ has poroperty (V). □

Remark Suppose that an operator algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ has property (V) and let $x, y \in H$, $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an operator $T \in \operatorname{Ball}(\mathcal{A})$ with $Tx = y$ is the validity of the inequalities

$$\|L^\perp y\| \leq \|L^\perp x\|, \quad L \in \operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, it is straightforward to check that the inequalities (10) are necessary. Conversely, assuming (10), we have

$$\|L^\perp x\|^2 + \|Ly\|^2 \geq \|L^\perp y\|^2 + \|Ly\|^2 = \|y\|^2 = 1, \quad L \in \operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A},$$

and since $\mathcal{A}$ is assumed to have property (V), $\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\| \geq 1$. Since x and y are unit vectors, $\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\| = 1$, and hence there exists $T \in \operatorname{Ball}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $(Tx, y) = 1$. Thus, we have equality in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and hence $\lambda Tx = y$ for some scalar λ.

We note, however, that the observation in the previous paragraph cannot be used to give a different proof of the necessary and sufficient conditions for the solution of the equation $Tx = y$ given in [7] since the result of [7] was used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

3. Validity of property (V') and violation of (V)

In this section, we exhibit an example of a weakly closed unital operator algebra without property (V). We say that an operator algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *property* (V') if there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{L \in \operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A}} (\|L^\perp x\|^2 + \|Ly\|^2) \leq c\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\|. \tag{11}$$

Property (V') is clearly weaker that (V) and can be thought of as a quantitative version of the latter.

Let N and M be closed subspaces of a Hilbert space H. Following Halmos [8], we say that N and M are in generic position if

$$N \cap M = N^\perp \cap M = M^\perp \cap N = M^\perp \cap N^\perp = \{0\}.$$

We say that the angle between N and M is positive if the algebraic sum $N + M$ is closed; otherwise, we say that the angle between N and M is zero.

It follows from [8] that if N and M are closed subspaces of a Hilbert space H in generic position, then there exists a Hilbert space H_0 such that, up to unitary equivalence, $H = H_0 \oplus H_0$,

$$N = \{(x, Bx) : x \in H_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \{(x, -Bx) : x \in H_0\}$$

where the operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(H_0)$ satisfies the conditions

(a) $0 \leq B \leq I$;
(b) $\ker B = \ker(I - B) = \{0\}$.

Moreover, the angle between N and M is positive if and only if the operator B is invertible.

Let P (resp. Q) be the orthogonal projection onto N (resp. M). Writing

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} (I + B^2)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (I + B^2)^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$P = \Gamma \begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ B & B^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P^\perp = \Gamma \begin{bmatrix} B^2 & -B \\ -B & I \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \Gamma \begin{bmatrix} I & -B \\ -B & B^2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } Q^\perp = \Gamma \begin{bmatrix} B^2 & B \\ B & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the rest of the section, we denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the algebra of operators on H that leave N and M invariant; note that $\operatorname{Lat}\mathcal{A} = \{0, P, Q, I\}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ T = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} : C, D \in \mathcal{B}(H_0) \right\}.$$

We will need the following result (see [11]).

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that the angle between N and M is positive. Set $a = \sqrt{2}/2$ and*

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} aI & -aI \\ aI & aI \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the operator S is invertible and $\mathcal{A} = S\mathcal{B}S^{-1}$.

Fix $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in H_0$, and let $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$. Assuming that the angle between N and M is positive, let

$$m_1 = a^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2\|^2 + a^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 - B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2\|^2,$$
$$m_2 = a^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2\|^2 + a^2\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 - B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2,$$
$$m_3 = a^2\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 + B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2 + a^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 - B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2\|^2$$

and

$$m_4 = a^2\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 + B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2 + a^2\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 - B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2.$$

Lemma 3.2. *We have that* $\min\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\} \leq \|\omega_{S^{-1}x, S^*y}|_{\mathcal{B}}\|$.

Proof. Note that

$$S^{-1}x = \begin{bmatrix} a(B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2) \\ a(-B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$S^*y = \begin{bmatrix} a(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 + B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2) \\ a(-B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 + B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The assertion follows from the fact that $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies property (V) (see Theorem 2.4). □

Set

$$a_1 = \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2,\ a_2 = \|P^\perp x\| + \|Py\|,$$
$$a_3 = \|Q^\perp x\| + \|Qy\| \text{ and } a_4 = \|y_1\|^2 + \|y_2\|^2.$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $c \geq 0$ such that*

$$a_1 \leq cm_1, a_2 \leq cm_3, a_3 \leq cm_2 \text{ and } a_4 \leq cm_4.$$

In particular, there exists $c \geq 0$ with

$$\min\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \leq c \min\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 \le \|B^{-1}\|^2\|Bx_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 \\
&\le \|B^{-1}\|^2(\|Bx_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2(\|Bx_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|Bx_1 + x_2\|^2) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2(\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 - B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2)\|^2 + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2)\|^2) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2(\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 - B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2\|^2 + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2)\|^2) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 a^{-2} m_1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 &= \|P^{\perp}x\|^2 + \|Py\|^2 \\
&= \left\|\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} B^2 & -B \\ -B & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\|\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ B & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 \\
&\le \|\Gamma\|^2 \left(\left\| \begin{bmatrix} B^2 & -B \\ -B & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ B & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 \right) \\
&\le \|\Gamma\|^2 \left(\left\| \begin{bmatrix} B^2x_1 - Bx_2 \\ -Bx_1 + x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + By_2 \\ By_1 + B^2y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 \right) \\
&\le \|\Gamma\|^2(\|B^2x_1 - Bx_2\|^2 + \| - Bx_1 + x_2\|^2 \\
&+ \|y_1 + By_2\|^2 + \|By_1 + B^2y_2\|^2) \\
&\le \|\Gamma\|^2(\|B\|^2\|Bx_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|Bx_1 - x_2\|^2 \\
&+ \|y_1 + By_2\|^2 + \|B\|^2\|y_1 + By_2\|^2) \\
&\le 2\|\Gamma\|^2 \left(\|Bx_1 - x_2\|^2 + \|y_1 + By_2\|^2\right) \\
&\le 2\|\Gamma\|^2 \left(\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 - B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2)\|^2 + \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 + B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2)\|^2\right) \\
&\le 2\|\Gamma\|^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 \left(\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1 - B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2\|^2 + \|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1 + B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2\right) \\
&\le 2\|\Gamma\|^2 a^{-2} m_3,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3 &= \|Q^{\perp}x\|^2 + \|Qy\|^2 \\
&= \left\|\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} B^2 & B \\ B & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\|\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} I & -B \\ -B & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 \\
&\le \|\Gamma\|^2 \left(\left\| \begin{bmatrix} B^2 & B \\ B & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} I & -B \\ -B & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 \right) \\
&= \|\Gamma\|^2 \left(\left\| \begin{bmatrix} B^2x_1 + Bx_2 \\ Bx_1 + x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - By_2 \\ -By_1 + B^2y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|\Gamma\|^2(\|B^2x_1+Bx_2\|^2+\|Bx_1+x_2\|^2\\
&+ \|y_1-By_2\|^2+\|By_1-B^2y_2\|^2)\\
&\leq \|\Gamma\|^2(\|B\|^2\|Bx_1+x_2\|^2+\|Bx_1+x_2\|^2\\
&+ \|y_1-By_2\|^2+\|B\|^2\|y_1-By_2\|^2)\\
&\leq 2\|\Gamma\|^2\left(\|Bx_1+x_2\|^2+\|y_1-By_2\|^2\right)\\
&\leq 2\|\Gamma\|^2\left(\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1+B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2)\|^2+\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1-B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2)\|^2\right)\\
&\leq 2\|\Gamma\|^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2\left(\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_1+B^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_2\|^2+\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1-B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2\right)\\
&\leq 2\|\Gamma\|^2a^{-2}m_2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_4 &= \|y_1\|^2+\|y_2\|^2\\
&\leq \|y_1\|^2+\|B^{-1}\|^2\|By_2\|^2\leq\|B^{-1}\|^2(\|y_1\|^2+\|By_2\|^2)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2(\|y_1+By_2\|^2+\|y_1-By_2\|^2)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2(\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1+B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2)\|^2+\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1-B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2)\|^2)\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2\|B^{\frac{1}{2}}\|^2(\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1+B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2+\|B^{-\frac{1}{2}}y_1-B^{\frac{1}{2}}y_2\|^2)\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|B^{-1}\|^2a^{-2}m_4.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.4. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies property (V') if and only if the angle between N and M is positive.*

Proof. Suppose that the angle between N and M is positive. Let $x, y \in H$. By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, there exists $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\inf{}_{L\in\mathrm{Lat}\,\mathcal{A}}(\|L^\perp x\|^2+\|Ly\|^2)\leq c\|\omega_{S^{-1}x,S^*y}|_{\mathcal{B}}\|.$$

On the other hand, letting $t=\|S\|\|S^{-1}\|$ we have by Proposition 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_{S^{-1}x,S^*y}|_{\mathcal{B}}\| &= \sup_{B\in\mathcal{B},\|B\|\leq 1}|\omega_{x,y}(SBS^{-1})|\\
&\leq \sup_{A\in\mathcal{A},\|A\|\leq t}|\omega_{x,y}(A)|=t\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (V').

Assume that the angle between N and M is zero. Let $x_1, y_2 \in H_0$ be unit vectors and $x=(x_1,0)$ and $y=(0,y_2)$ with respect to the decomposition $H=H_0\oplus H_0$. We have

$$\|P^\perp x\|^2+\|Py\|^2 = \left\|\Gamma\begin{bmatrix}B^2 & -B\\ -B & I\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}x_1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2+\left\|\Gamma\begin{bmatrix}I & B\\ B & B^2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0\\y_2\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2$$

$$= \left\|\Gamma\begin{bmatrix} B^2x_1 \\ -Bx_1\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\|\Gamma\begin{bmatrix} By_2 \\ B^2y_2\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2.$$

Since

$$\left\|\begin{bmatrix}(I+B^2) & 0 \\ 0 & (I+B^2)\end{bmatrix}\right\|^{-1} \geq 1/2$$

and, for every invertible operator X and every vector ξ we have $\|X\xi\| \geq \|X^{-1}\|^{-1}\|\xi\|$, we obtain

$$\|P^\perp x\|^2 + \|Py\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\|\begin{bmatrix} B^2x_1 \\ -Bx_1\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\|\begin{bmatrix} By_2 \\ B^2y_2\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|By_2\|^2.$$

A similar calculation gives

$$\|Q^\perp x\|^2 + \|Qy\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\left\|\begin{bmatrix} B^2x_1 \\ Bx_1\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 + \left\|\begin{bmatrix} -By_2 \\ B^2y_2\end{bmatrix}\right\|^2\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|By_2\|^2.$$

Hence

$$\inf_{L\in \mathrm{Lat}\,\mathcal{A}} \|L^\perp x\|^2 + \|Ly\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\|By_2\|^2. \tag{12}$$

We calculate the right hand side of inequality (11). Let $T \in \mathrm{Ball}(\mathcal{A})$. We may write

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ BDB & R\end{bmatrix}$$

for some $C, D, R \in \mathcal{B}(H_0)$ such that $BC = RB$ (see *e.g.* [2]). We have $\omega_{x,y}(T) = (BDBx_1, y_2)$ and, since D is a contraction,

$$|\omega_{x,y}(T)| \leq \|Bx_1\|\|By_2\|.$$

Assume now that there exists a constant c such that

$$\inf_{L\in \mathrm{Lat}\,\mathcal{A}} \|L^\perp x\|^2 + \|Ly\|^2 \leq c\|\omega_{x,y}|_{\mathcal{A}}\|.$$

Then, by (12),

$$\frac{1}{4}\|By_2\|^2 \leq c\|Bx_1\|\|By_2\|. \tag{13}$$

By assumption, B is injective and not invertible. Denoting by $E(\cdot)$ its spectral measure, we can hence find a decreasing sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq (0,1]$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_n = 0$ and the projections $E_n = E([\lambda_n, \lambda_{n-1}))$ are non-zero for all $n \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, let e_n be a unit vector with $E_n e_n = e_n$. Taking $x_1 = e_{n+1}$ and $y_2 = e_1$ in (13), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4}\lambda_1^2 \leq c\lambda_0\lambda_n.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain a contradiction with the fact that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_n = 0$. □

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.4 shows that if H_0 is finite dimensional then $\mathcal{A}$ automatically has property (V').

References

[1] C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border, Infinite dimensional analysis. A hitchhiker's guide, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[2] M. Anoussis, A. Katavolos and M. S. Lambrou, *On the reflexive algebra with two invariant subspaces*, J. Operator Theory 30 (1993), no. 2, 267-299.

[3] W. B. Arveson *Operator algebras and invariant subspaces*, Ann. Math. (2) 100 (1974), 433-532.

[4] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors*, Ann. Math. (2) 104 (1976), 73-116.

[5] K. R. Davidson, *Nest Algebras*, Longman, 1988.

[6] U. Haagerup and C. Winslow, *The Effros-Maréchal topology in the space of von Neumann algebras II*, J. Funct. Anal. 171 (2000), 401-431.

[7] A. Hopenwasser, *The equation $Tx = y$ in a reflexive operator algebra*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980), no.1, 121-126.

[8] P. Halmos, *Two subspaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (1969), 381-389.

[9] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of von Neumann algebras I,II and III*, Academic Press, 1986.

[10] A. I. Loginov and V. S.Shulman, *Hereditary and intermediate reflexivity of W*-algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 39 (1975), 1260-1273; Math. USSR-Izv. 9 (1975), 1189-1201.

[11] M. Papadakis, *Isomorphisms between certain non-CSL algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993), 1157-1164.

Department of Mathematics, University of the Aegean, 83200 Samos, Greece
E-mail address: mano@aegean.gr

Pure Mathematics Research Centre, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, United Kingdom
E-mail address: i.todorov@qub.ac.uk